

CONTENTS

<i>Acknowledgements</i>	Page	ix
INTRODUCTION	»	I
1 - The state of research	»	I
2 - Chronological framework	»	5
3 - Terminology and types of ionic capital	»	6
Front side	»	7
Bolster side	»	18
The development of the ionic in Asia Minor and Rome: elaborated bolsters and simplified fronts	»	21
Bulky proportions in Early Christian capitals	»	22
Canonical decorative elements	»	23
4 - Principles of organization	»	24
THE SEVERANS TO THE TETRARCHY: LOCAL ROMAN WORKSHOPS	»	27
5 - Ionic bolsters and the Baths of Diocletian	»	27
6 - Bolster decorated with acanthus or lobed leaves	»	32
a. The atelier of the Baths of Caracalla: chiaroscuro, exuberance and systematized composition	»	33
b. The distorted post-second-century current	»	36
c. The Diocletianic baroque	»	37
d. Drier post-Severan style: cymatium with egg-and-tongue	»	38
7 - Bolster decorated with water leaves	»	39
a. Neck decorated with lobed leaves	»	39
Group 1: separation between channel and abacus	»	41
Group 2: no separation between channel and abacus	»	42
Group 3: capitals without horizontal channel	»	43
Group 4: post-Severan variations and shaky, amorphous style	»	45
b. Chronology	»	46
c. Neck decorated with water leaves	»	48
d. Capitals without neck	»	49
8 - Bolster decorated with scale-like leaves	»	52

	Page
9 – Abbreviation, lively style and systematized style in Roman schematic capitals	52
10 – Schematic capitals with channel decoration (smooth volutes type A)	» 53
a. Capitals without neck	» 53
b. Four-sided capitals	» 54
11 – Schematic capitals with palmette-tail on the volute (smooth volutes type B) . .	» 55
Group 1: separation between channel and abacus	» 56
Group 2: no separation between channel and abacus	» 57
Group 3: capitals without horizontal channel	» 58
12 – Amorphous schematic capitals (smooth volutes type C)	» 58
13 – « Delian » schematic capitals: without palmette-tail on the volute (smooth volutes type D)	» 58
THE SEVERANS TO THE TETRARCHY: ASIATIC PRODUCTS	» 61
14 – Importation and migratory carvers in the second and third centuries: general considerations	» 61
15 – Bolster decorated with scale-like leaves	» 63
16 – Bolster decorated with lobed leaves and water leaves	» 63
17 – Undecorated bolster with balteus	» 64
18 – Bolster decorated with water leaves with connected borders	» 65
19 – Bolster decorated with acanthus: Asiatic carvers at Rome	» 67
a. Transplanted carvers and transplanted forms: general considerations	» 67
b. Hybrid capitals	» 67
c. Asiatic influence in post-Severan Rome.	» 70
THE SEVERANS TO THE TETRARCHY: ATTIC PRODUCTS	» 71
20 – Standard ionics: classically structured channel and low relief cymatium	» 71
a. Imported pieces and purely Attic style	» 71
b. Roman influence in standard Attic capitals.	» 75
21 – Schematic capitals with worked volutes: Attic carvers at Rome	» 76
22 – Bolster decorated with acanthus: Attic carvers at Rome	» 77
THE SEVERANS TO THE TETRARCHY: THASIAN PRODUCTS	» 79
23 – Schematic ionics with worked volutes and decorated channel	» 79
THE EARLY CHRISTIAN PERIOD: EASTERN WORKSHOPS	» 81
24 – Thasian capitals: schematic ionics with worked volutes	» 81
a. Decorated channel and Samian bolster	» 81
b. Bolster decorated with stemless water leaves with interconnected borders . .	» 85
c. Undecorated channel	» 86
d. Chronology	» 88
Series A: decorated channel	» 88
Series B: undecorated channel	» 91

25 - Asiatic ionics: undecorated bolster with balteus (front without half-palmettes)	Page	93
26 - Asiatic ionics: bolster decorated with water leaves (front without half-palmettes)	»	94
27 - Asiatic ionics: bolster decorated with water leaves without balteus	»	94
28 - Attic ionics	»	97
a. Standard capitals	»	97
b. Schematic capitals with worked volutes	»	98
29 - Peloponnesian ionics: schematic capitals with worked volutes and flat echinus	»	100
a. Without abacus (purely Eastern type)	»	100
b. With abacus (hybrids)	»	101
THE EARLY CHRISTIAN PERIOD: DECORATED CAPITALS OF ROMAN WORKSHOPS . . .	»	103
30 - Four-sided ionics, 1: neck decorated with lobed leaves or acanthus	»	103
a. The Temple of Saturn	»	103
1. History and description of the building	»	103
2. Typology of the capitals	»	105
3. Style and workshop derivation	»	106
4. Chronology	»	108
b. Pilaster capitals	»	109
31 - Four-sided ionics, 2: various decoration	»	110
a. Fluted neck	»	110
b. Without neck: catacomb capitals	»	110
c. Neck decorated with water leaves	»	111
32 - Bolster decorated with acanthus	»	112
33 - Bolster decorated with lobed leaves	»	115
34 - Bolster decorated with water leaves with plastic mid-ribs	»	118
Group a: normal abacus	»	118
Group b: without abacus (North African influence)	»	119
35 - Bolster decorated with water leaves with interconnected borders (straight mid-ribs)	»	121
36 - Bolster decorated with water leaves with incised, interconnected mid-ribs and interconnected borders	»	123
37 - Bolster decorated with water leaves with interconnected borders and interconnected plastic mid-ribs	»	124
Group A: Attic group: horizontal channel separated by fillet from abacus . .	»	125
Group B: unclassical group: no fillet separates horizontal channel from abacus	»	126
Chronology of groups A and B	»	129
Group C: schematic echinus	»	131
38 - Bolster decorated with water leaves without central stems (front without echinus)	»	132

THE EARLY CHRISTIAN PERIOD: SCHEMATIC CAPITALS OF ROMAN WORKSHOPS	Page	I33
39 - Balteus, proportions and finishing	»	I33
40 - Smooth volutes	»	I33
Type B: palmette-tail projecting onto volute	»	I33
Type D: « Delian » schematics: without palmette-tail	»	I34
Group 1: interconnected volutes	»	I34
Group 2: segregated volutes	»	I35
Type E: recessed upper plate (North African influence)	»	I35
Type F: recessed abacus	»	I36
Type G: without echinus (Tuscia)	»	I38
41 - Ionic impost capitals	»	I39
42 - Worked volutes: Eastern sources	»	I40
43 - Worked volutes type A: fillet passing over echinus	»	I40
Group 1: pyramidal abacus	»	I40
Group 2: bolster decorated with water leaves with interconnected borders and plastic mid-ribs	»	I41
Group 3: decorated channel (Thasian influence)	»	I41
Group 4: palmette-tails	»	I42
44 - Worked volutes type B: fillet extruded from the echinus	»	I43
CONCLUSIONS	»	I47
45 - The Later Pagan period from the Severans through the Tetrarchy	»	I47
a. Importation and local workshops	»	I47
b. Typology	»	I48
c. Stylistic developments	»	I51
46 - The Early Christian period	»	I54
a. Importation and local workshops	»	I54
b. Typology	»	I55
c. Stylistic developments	»	I60
47 - Connections between typologies and workshops	»	I64
48 - Taste and economics in the marble trade	»	I66
49 - The use of the ionic order in Late Antique Rome	»	I67
50 - Appendix: astructural wall treatments and schematic capitals.	»	I76
51 - Appendix: column shafts from Alikı (Thasos) at Rome and Ostia	»	I79
a. Grey marbles and Thasian marbles	»	I79
b. List of shafts from Alikı	»	I80
c. Chronology	»	I81
52 - Appendix: schematic ionic capitals at Ephesos	»	I82
53 - Appendix: a new study of the Temple of Saturn	»	I87
<i>Abbreviations</i>	»	I89
<i>List of illustrations</i>	»	I93
<i>List of figures</i>	»	I95
<i>Photographic credits</i>	»	205
<i>Index</i>	»	207

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work has matured over many years. The topic was first suggested by Richard Krautheimer to David Hupert, who eventually passed his photographs on to me. The idea has been nourished by discussions of and visits to the Early Christian churches of Rome with Prof. Krautheimer and with Ronald Malmstrom. My wife Ariel's energetic desire to explore all sites of interest for ancient sculpture has provided an invaluable expansion of my horizons. Discussions of architectural ornament with Prof. F. W. Deichmann and Patrizio Pensabene have been memorably stimulating. A pleasing collaboration with Jean-Pierre Sodini on the ionic capitals of Thasos was the immediate platform from which this project could be launched.

In 1979, an essential block of time for research and photography in Rome was provided by a Fellowship for Museum Professionals from the National Endowment for the Arts. My thanks go to the administration of the Museum of Fine Arts, Boston in general and Dr. Jan Fontein in particular for their support of that grant. My colleagues in the Department of Classical Art, Cornelius Vermeule, Mary Comstock and Florence Wolsky have been to the highest degree supportive, encouraging and helpful. A special debt of thanks is owed to Mary Comstock, who edited and indexed this manuscript and who is very significantly responsible for its consistency and readability. The project was supported by Suzette Morton Davidson and has benefitted from the attention of the American Philosophical Society: in particular, James B. Pritchard and Carole LeFaivre, to whom I submitted the completed manuscript in 1982.

I have been greatly assisted and encouraged by Valnea Santa Maria Scrinari of the Soprintendenza di Ostia Antica and by Jean-Pierre Sodini, Margot Schmidt and Beat Brenk. Many archaeological and architectural agencies and many museums in Lazio have been most patient and helpful. Access was granted to closed areas, information on provenances was supplied, and assistance on photographic expeditions was provided. My gratitude goes out to the staff of the Museo Nazionale Romano in general and to Soprintendente Guzzo, Dottoressa Manera and Daniela Candilio in particular; to the Direzione del Foro Romano e del Palatino, and especially Dott. Giovanni Rita and Dottoressa Dondero and Jacopi; to the Decima Ripartizione del Comune di Roma, and in particular to Dott. Eugenio La Rocca and Maddalena Cima; to the Soprintendenza ai Monumenti del Lazio; to the Soprintendenza alle Antichità di Lazio, and in particular Italo Ceccani and Mario Frisciotti. The Direzione dei Musei Vaticani and the Pontificia Commissione dell'Archeologia Sacra have been most helpful: in particular Ing. Santa Maria and Padre Antonio Ferrua. Prof. Louis Reekmans has displayed an ex-

traordinary generosity and spirit of collaboration. At Palazzo Farnese I was aided by Signora Koenig Zeffiri. The German Archaeological Institute has greatly facilitated this project through access to its library and fototeca and through the cooperation of its staff.

Most of the drawings were prepared for publication by Aaron Paul. Illustration 23 is by David Herrmann and illustration 28 by the author. On a photographic campaign, I was assisted by Annewies van den Hoek and Niklaas van de Bunt. My thanks also go to Enrico Fiorentini, Tullio Diamante and Alfredo Turchi.

I am grateful to Dott. Giorgio Bretschneider for his courage in publishing this elaborate study of an unfashionable subject. Capitals themselves have long functioned as one of the few sculptural elements humble enough to be left to furnish archaeological areas. Waves of thefts, however, have all but stripped many sites of even this limited décor, and some storage zones have suffered severe inroads as well. Unfortunately, this book will now serve as a record that to a significant degree cannot be repeated.